MRC Technical Summary Report # 1721

ON THE DUAL OF THE LINEARLY
CONSTRAINED MULTI-FACILITY
LOCATION PROBLEM WITH ARBITRARY
NORMS

Henrik Juel and Robert Love

Mathematics Research Center
University of Wisconsin—Madison
610 Walnut Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

February 1977

(Received December 28, 1976)

DDC
RECEIVED
MAY 3 1977
C

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON
MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

ON THE DUAL OF THE LINEARLY CONSTRAINED MULTI-FACILITY LOCATION PROBLEM WITH ARBITRARY NORMS[†]

Henrik Juel and Robert Love[*]

Technical Summary Report #1721
February 1977

ABSTRACT

In this article the dual of the multi-facility location problem with arbitrary norms is developed. The formulation allows any number of linear constraints in the primal. It is shown that the multipliers associated with the linear equations of the dual are the optimal facility locations of the primal.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification: 90B10

Key Words: Multi-facility, location problem, dual, arbitrary norms, multipliers

Work Unit Number 5 (Mathematical Programming and Operations Research)

ON THE DUAL OF THE LINEARLY CONSTRAINED MULTI-FACILITY
LOCATION PROBLEM WITH ARBITRARY NORMS[†]

Henrik Juel and Robert Love[*]

We consider the problem

$$\text{minimize } \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{1ij} K_{1ij}(x_j - a_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} w_{2ij} K_{2ij}(x_i - x_j)$$

$$\text{subject to } \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_j x_j \leq b.$$

m and n are the number of existing and new facilities, respectively, and d is the dimension of the facility space. w_{1ij} is the non-negative weight and $K_{1ij}(\cdot)$ is the norm on R^d, to be used between existing facility i and new facility j for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. w_{2ij} is the non-negative weight and $K_{2ij}(\cdot)$ is the norm on R^d, to be used between new facilities i and j for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. $a_i \in R^d$ is the location of existing facility i for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $x_j \in R^d$ is the unknown location of new facility j for $1 \leq j \leq n$. ℓ linear constraints on the locations of the new facilities are expressed using n $\ell \times d$ matrices A_j for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and the ℓ-vector b.

This article generalizes and synthesizes some results obtained by Love [1] and Planchart and Hurter [4]. Using elements from conjugate function theory as exposited by Witzgall [5], we shall find the dual of the location problem.

---

[*]Visiting Professor in the Department of Management Sciences, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario in 1976-77.

Let superscript c denote the conjugate function corresponding to a convex function, and superscript o denote the polar corresponding to a norm. It is convenient to let $x^T = (x_1^T, x_2^T, \ldots, x_n^T)$ and $A = (A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n)$. Then $Ax = \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_j x_j$. For $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $1 \leq j \leq n$, let $B_{1ij} = (0,0,\ldots 0,\underset{j}{I},0,0,\ldots,\underset{n}{0})$ be a $d \times nd$ matrix consisting of n $d \times d$ submatrices, each of which is a null-matrix, except the $\underline{j}\text{th}$, which is an identity matrix. Then $x_j = B_{1ij}x$. For $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, let $B_{2ij} = (0,0,\ldots,0,\underset{i}{I},0,0,\ldots,0,\underset{j}{-I},0,0\ldots,\underset{n}{0})$ be a $d \times nd$ matrix consisting of n $d \times d$ submatrices, each of which is a null-matrix, except the $\underline{i}\text{th}$, which is an identity matrix and the $\underline{j}\text{th}$, which is a negative identity matrix. With this notation, we can write down a number of functions occurring in the location problem and find the conjugate functions.

For $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $1 \leq j \leq n$, let $f_{1ij}(x) = w_{1ij} K_{1ij}(B_{1ij}x - a_i)$, so we have

$$f_{1ij}^c(B_{1ij}^T y_{1ij}) = \begin{cases} a_i^T y_{1ij} & \text{if } K_{1ij}^o(y_{1ij}) \leq w_{1ij} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ let $f_{2ij}(x) = w_{2ij} K_{2ij}(B_{2ij}x)$, so we have

$$f_{2ij}^c(B_{2ij}^T y_{2ij}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } K_{2ij}^o(y_{2ij}) \leq w_{2ij} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let $f_3(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } Ax \leq b \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$. Then $f_3^c(z_3) = \sup\{z_3^T x : A_x \leq b\} = \inf\{b^T y_3 : A^T y_3 = z_3, y_3 \geq 0\}$

by the theory of linear programming.

Finally, let $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{1ij}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} f_{2ij}(x) + f_3(x)$, so the location problem is: minimize $f(x)$.

For the dual we get:

$$f^c(0) = \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i^T y_{1ij} + \inf\{b^T y_3 : A^T y_3 = z_3, y_3 \geq 0\}:$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{11j}^T y_{11j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} B_{21j}^T y_{21j} + z_3 = 0; K_{11j}^0(y_{11j}) \leq w_{11j},$$

$$1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n; K_{21j}^0(y_{21j}) \leq w_{21j}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} =$$

$$\inf\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i^T y_{1ij} + b^T y_3:$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{1ij} - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} y_{2ij} + \sum_{i=j+1}^{n} y_{2ij} + A_j^T y_3 = 0, \ 1 \leq j \leq n; K_{11j}^0(y_{11j}) \leq w_{11j},$$

$$1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n; K_{21j}^0(y_{21j}) \leq w_{21j}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n, y_3 \leq 0\}.$$

The dual of the location problem may be written as

maximize $- \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i^T y_{1ij} - b^T y_3$

subject to $\quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{1ij} - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} y_{2ij} + \sum_{i=j+1}^{n} y_{2ij} + A_j^T y_3 = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$\qquad\qquad\quad K_{1ij}^0(y_{1ij}) \leq w_{1ij}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$\qquad\qquad\quad K_{2ij}^0(y_{2ij}) \leq w_{2ij}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$,

$\qquad\qquad\quad y_3 \geq 0$.

This dual may be transformed back into the location problem, using differentiable duality theory as exposited by Luenberger [3]. Consider the problem (P):

maximize $p(y)$ subject to $g(y) \leq 0$, $h(y) = 0$ $\quad (y \epsilon R^N)$

where $p(\cdot)$ is a differentiable concave real-valued function, $g(\cdot)$ is a differentiable vector-valued function with convex component functions and $h(\cdot)$ is an affine function. A dual of problem (P) is this problem (D):

minimize $d(x) = \max\{p(y) + x^T h(y) : g(y) \leq 0\}$.

In a similar manner to the development by Luenberger [3], we may arrive at the following facts:

1. $p(y) \leq d(x)$ for all y with $g(y) \leq 0$, $h(y) = 0$ and all x.

2. Let a constraint qualification hold for problem (P). If y_o solves problem (P) with multiplier vector x_o corresponding to the equality constraints, then x_o solves problem (D) and $p(y_o) = d(x_o)$.

These facts cannot be applied directly to the dual of the location problem, since the functions in the nonlinear constraints are norms and thus not differentiable. For many norms, however, a simple transformation will yield a differentiable function. Consider, for instance, the norm K on R^d given by $K(x) = \ell_p(C_x)$ where C is a non-singular d x d matrix and $\ell_p(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_d) = (\sum_{i=1}^{d} |z_i|^p)^{1/p}$. The inequality $K(x) \leq w$ is equivalent to $(K(x))^p \leq w^p$, in which inequality the left-hand side is a differentiable function for $p > 1$. For simplicity, we do not exhibit such transformations explicitly in the following.

Taking the dual of the location problem as (P), we obtain for problem (D):

$$d(x) = \max\{-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i^T y_{1ij} - b^T y_3 +$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^T (\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{1ij} - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} y_{2ij} + \sum_{i=j+1}^{n} y_{2ji} + A_j^T y_3) :$$

$$K_{1ij}^o(y_{1ij}) \leq w_{1ij}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad i \leq j \leq n; \quad K_{21j}^o(y_{2ij}) \leq w_{21j}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \quad y_3 \geq 0\}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \max\{(x_j - a_i)^T y_{1ij} : K_{1ij}^o(y_{1ij}) \leq w_{1ij}\} +$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \max\{(x_i - x_j)^T y_{2ij} : K_{2ij}^o(y_{2ij}) \leq w_{2ij}\} +$$

$$\max\{(\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_j x_j - b)^T y_3 : y_3 \geq 0\}.$$

Using the properties of polars of norms [5], problem (D) is thus identical to the original location problem.

The location problem can be solved by solving its dual by some standard nonlinear programming algorithm, the optimal locations being the optimal multiplier vectors corresponding to the equality constraints. Our computational experience, however, seems to indicate that, from the viewpoint of computation time, it is somewhat more efficient to solve the location problem directly, using differentiable approximations to the norms involved [2]. (Some may find the dual form easier to program, however, due to the simple nature of the derivatives of the objective function and constraints.) The special structure of the dual can be exploited to solve it using decomposition as suggested by Love [1] and Planchart and Hurter [4]. Even this technique for solving the location problem seems less efficient than either solving the primal form or solving the dual directly with a nonlinear programming routine.

REFERENCES

1. R. F. LOVE, "The Dual of a Hyperbolic Approximation to the Generalized Constrained Multi-Facility Location Problem with ℓ_p Distances," *Management Sci.* 21, 22-33 (1974).

2. _____ AND J. G. MORRIS, "Solving Constrained Multi-Facility Location Problems Involving ℓ_p Distances Using Convex Programming," *Opns. Res.* 23, 581-587 (1975).

3. DAVID G. LUENBERGER, Introduction to Linear and Nonlinear Programming, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1973, 312-316.

4. A. PLANCHART AND A. P. HURTER, JR., "An Efficient Algorithm for the Solution of the Weber Problem with Mixed Norms," SIAM J. Control 13, 650-665 (1975).

5. C. WITZGALL, "Optimal Location of a Central Facility--Mathematical Models and Concepts," National Bureau of Standards Report No. 8388 (1964).

-6-

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

READ INSTRUCTIONS
BEFORE COMPLETING FORM

1. REPORT NUMBER 1721	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) ON THE DUAL OF THE LINEARLY CONSTRAINED MULTI-FACILITY LOCATION PROBLEM WITH ARBITRARY NORMS.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Summary Report, - no specific reporting period
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Henrik Juel and Robert Love		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DAAG29-75-C-0024
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematics Research Center, University of 610 Walnut Street Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 5 (Mathematical Programming and Operations Research)
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709		12. REPORT DATE February 1977
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 6
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

MRC-TSR-1721

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Multi-facility
Location problem
Dual
Arbitrary norms
Multipliers

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

In this article the dual of the multi-facility location problem with arbitrary norms is developed. The formulation allows any number of linear constraints in the primal. It is shown that the multipliers associated with the linear equations of the dual are the optimal facility locations of the primal.